



# **SUCCESSFULLY EXPLOITING e-MARKETING AND SALES IN THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY**

the European approach

Aleksandar Ruzicic, John Haughey and Denise Silber

An Informa Pharmaceutical Industry Report  
published and distributed by

**Informa Pharmaceuticals**

A division of Informa UK Limited

Mortimer House 37–41 Mortimer Street London W1T 3JH UK

Tel: +44 (0) 1206 772113 Fax: +44 (0) 1206 772771

Email [info@informapharma.com](mailto:info@informapharma.com) Web: [www.informapharma.com](http://www.informapharma.com)

---

© 2001 Informa UK Limited

ISBN 1 843 110 822

This work may not be photocopied or otherwise reproduced within the terms of any business licence granted by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd or the Publishers Society Ltd.

This Management Report may not be reproduced in any form or for any purpose without the prior knowledge and consent of the publisher.

The views expressed in this report are not necessarily those of the publisher. While information, advice or comment is believed to be correct at the time of publication, no responsibility can be accepted by the publisher for its completeness.

Typeset by James Hypher Publishing Services, 14 Malthouse Lane, Dorchester-on-Thames, Oxfordshire OX10 7LF, UK.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Spectrographic Ltd, Unit 8, The Derwent Business Centre, Clarke Street, Derby DE1 2BU, UK.

## The authors

---

*Aleksandar Ruzicic* is the founder and managing director of almasan Limited, a company providing e-marketing and sales solutions in healthcare. Prior to founding almasan Limited, Aleksandar was general manager, Europe, for Aptilon Health, an e-business specialising in the provision of e-marketing services to the healthcare industry. Between 1994 and 2000, Aleksandar was a consultant with McKinsey & Company in Zurich, with a primary focus on the global pharmaceutical and healthcare market. During 1999, Aleksandar focused on the emerging e-health area, and counselled pharmaceutical companies, medical device companies, payers, distributors and e-health.coms on their appropriate strategies. Aleksandar has a Master's degree in Chemistry from the University of Zurich, with a minor in information technology, as well as an MBA from INSEAD in France.

*John Haughey* co-founded and serves as operations director for almasan. He was formerly with PA Consulting, where his primary focus was on operational improvement and e-business in the pharmaceutical industry. John set up and managed the pharmaceutical e-business team for PA Consulting in the UK. Between 1993 and 1997, John worked as a manager of a software supply division of Grant Thornton International (Asia), building experience in software and channel development. Prior to this, John was part of the graduate management development programme at the Wellcome Foundation, where he worked in a variety of roles including marketing. John has a Bachelor of Science degree in Biology from the University of Warwick.

*Denise Silber* is founder of Basil Strategies, an e-health consultancy, in Paris and New York. Denise has worked in the e-health sector since its emergence in 1995, in both Europe and US, where she initiated a long-standing involvement in e-healthcare quality and ethics. She is currently based in Paris. Denise is a founding member of the board of the Internet Healthcare Coalition ([www.ihealthcoalition.org](http://www.ihealthcoalition.org)), member of the French e-Quality steering committee, managed jointly by the French Ministry of Health and the Order of Physicians, and participates in the European Commission's Quality Workshop. She is also on the Editorial Board of *Medicine on the Net* in the US, writes for [www.interactivesante.com](http://www.interactivesante.com), and co-organises e-health conferences for the Club Essec Santé. In 1991, Denise created Spinnaker, a healthcare communications agency in Paris, which became France's first and leading developer of Internet capabilities in healthcare in 1996. Denise later returned to the US, where she became general manager of WorldCare.com, a physician-driven electronic second opinion service, and then joined Lowe HealthTech, as managing director. Denise started her healthcare career in pharmaceuticals at Merck and later joined G.D. Searle, as marketing director. Denise graduated from Smith College and, prior to acquiring her MBA from Harvard, served in the US Foreign Service as vice-consul at the US Embassy in Mexico City.



# CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
Internet usage by physicians and consumers in Europe	6
European physicians adopt the Internet	7
The paucity of research on European Internet consumers	9
Relevance of the e-health market and pharmaceutical e-business	11
Four e-health segments: content, commerce, connectivity, care	11
Pharmaceutical e-business along the value chain	12
Pharmaceutical marketing and sales	13
European e-marketing and sales focus	15
Topics and limits of the report	15
Research-based methodology	15
Conclusion	17
<b>CHAPTER 2: CAPTURING e-BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES</b>	<b>19</b>
Organisational issues	20
Independent e-units	21
Implementation considerations	23
Funding requirements	25
Conclusion	27
<b>CHAPTER 3: EMERGING e-MARKETING TACTICS</b>	<b>29</b>
Segmentation of e-marketing initiatives	29
Product life cycle	32
Physician and consumer segmentation	34
Emerging spending patterns	35
Marketing and sales goals	36
Future killer applications	38
Conclusion	39
<b>CHAPTER 4: e-MARKETING STRATEGY – A THREE-STEP DECISION</b>	<b>41</b>
Three-step decision-making framework	41
Patient/physician flow analysis	42
Branding and infrastructure ownership	44
Generating impact from e-marketing	46
Integrated Internet marketing programmes	47
Skill upgrade and improvement of marketing processes	48

	Conclusion	49
<b>CHAPTER 5:</b>	<b>CASE STUDY – LUNDBECK’S DEPRESSION INITIATIVE</b>	<b>51</b>
	Depression: Lundbeck’s core franchise	51
	Decision making: applying the framework	52
	Targeting patients and relatives to improve treatment	53
	Applying a dual approach to increase impact	56
	Choosing NetDoktor and garnering internal support	57
	Conclusion	58
<b>CHAPTER 6:</b>	<b>STRATEGIC OUTLOOK – THE GLOBAL HEALTH SERVICE INDUSTRY</b>	<b>59</b>
	Changing healthcare environment	60
	The role of the Internet within healthcare	60
	Care for individual consumers	61
	Transforming marketing and sales	63
	Towards customer-centric processes	65
	New Internet marketing approaches	66
	The formation of a global health service industry	68
	Relevant factors for a global health service industry	70
	Market entry routes for pharmaceutical players	72
	Conclusion	75
<b>CHAPTER 7:</b>	<b>RESOURCES – REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>77</b>
	Regulatory bodies in Europe	77
	European Community regulation	78
	Regulation in the UK	83
	Regulation in France	85
	Regulation in Germany	87
	Relevant international organisations	88
	International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers’ Associations (IFPMA)	89
	World Health Organisation	89
	Health On the Net	90
	Conclusion	92
<b>CHAPTER 8:</b>	<b>RESOURCES – e-HEALTH SUPPLIERS</b>	<b>93</b>
	Online authorisation services for medical professionals	93
	Online market research solutions companies	95
	Reach/traffic providers	96
	Content providers	98
	e-Care companies	98
	e-Marketing/sales solutions	99
	e-CRM	100
	e-Detailing	101

	e-CME	102
	Technology platforms	102
	e-CSOs	103
	Conclusion	103
<b>APPENDIX 1:</b>	<b>QUESTIONNAIRE FOR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2:</b>	<b>QUESTIONNAIRE FOR e-HEALTH SUPPLIERS</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3:</b>	<b>QUESTIONNAIRE FOR 'eHEALTH-EUROPE' IBC CONFERENCE, 21–23 MAY 2001, BARCELONA</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4:</b>	<b>SUPPLIERS</b>	<b>119</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Primary care physicians 'ever having accessed' the Internet	7
Table 1.2: e-Health business models	12
Table 1.3: Pharmaceutical e-business examples	12
Table 1.4: Companies participating in the Internet surveys (alphabetical order)	17
Table 4.1: Internet initiatives impacting patient/physician flow	44
Table 4.2: Examples for measuring e-marketing initiatives	46
Table 4.3: Examples of Internet marketing training modules	48
Table 5.1: Comparison of the two possible approaches	57
Table 6.1: The Internet as enabling technology	61
Table 6.2: Paradigm shift in pharmaceutical strategy	65
Table 6.3: Key success factors for a customer-centric business	65
Table 6.4: The Internet as part of the traditional marketing and sales mix	67
Table 6.5: Pharmaceutical managers' assessment of e-health in Europe	72

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: 'I would be amenable to increased e-mail correspondence with patients in future'	8
Figure 1.2: 'I am usually happy to consider treatment options suggested to me by patients who have taken the time to inform themselves'	8
Figure 1.3: Which services would physicians like to have on the Web?	9
Figure 1.4: What is most important/not at all important for patients?	10
Figure 1.5: Whom do patients trust and use as a source of information portals?	10

Figure 2.1: e-Nabling the pharmaceutical process across the value chain	19
Figure 2.2: Who is targeted most by your e-nabling company initiative?	20
Figure 2.3: Evolution of organisational models used for e-implementation	21
Figure 2.4: What independent e-units exist within your company?	22
Figure 2.5: What have been the most important sources of new full-time equivalents (FTEs)?	23
Figure 2.6: How do you typically implement e-nabling initiatives?	24
Figure 2.7: Which European country will become the e-health leader?	24
Figure 2.8: What is the size of your company's global e-business/e-commerce budget?	25
Figure 2.9: How many full-time employees are dedicated to e-business/e-commerce in your company?	26
Figure 2.10: What have been the primary obstacles to achieving business unit e-marketing goals?	27
Figure 3.1: Who do you target most with online marketing and sales initiatives?	29
Figure 3.2: What is the primary segmentation for e-marketing and sales initiatives?	30
Figure 3.3: Partnerships with independent e-health players	32
Figure 3.4: Objectives by product life cycle	33
Figure 3.5: Which relationships do you anticipate being affected first by the Internet?	34
Figure 3.6: What interactive devices have been utilised in realising your e-business strategy to date?	35
Figure 3.7: What is the most important marketing and sales goal to achieve using the Internet?	36
Figure 3.8: What will be the 'killer applications' for online marketing and sales?	38
Figure 4.1: Simple patient/physician flow analysis	43
Figure 4.2: Examples of branding and infrastructure ownership	45
Figure 4.3: Integrated Internet marketing programme for product launches	47
Figure 5.1: Lundbeck's revenues in 2000	52
Figure 5.2: Depression patient flow	55
Figure 5.3: Lundbeck's two possible approaches	57
Figure 6.1: The relative impact of trends on the future of healthcare	61
Figure 6.2: Pharma framework and the biological continuum	64
Figure 6.3: Formation of a global health service industry	69
Figure 6.4: Richness versus reach in a global health service industry	70
Figure 6.5: Evolution of global health services from pharmaceutical products	73
Figure 6.6: What will the biopharmaceutical and medical device companies undertake in e-health in the next 2 years?	74
Figure 8.1: Preference for independent information	97
Figure 8.2: Effectiveness of CRM implementation by pharmaceutical companies	100
Figure 8.3: Online versus offline access to physicians	102



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**The challenge of e-marketing and sales in Europe**

Despite the hype and investment in e-health over the last few years, it is still fair to say that the Internet is not yet fully embraced by the pharmaceutical industry for marketing and sales. With pharmaceutical companies already having started experimenting with new marketing approaches, full adoption can be expected soon. Between 60% and 70% of pharmaceutical managers have recently identified marketing and sales as the area to be impacted most by the Internet. Pharmaceutical companies are committed to invest heavily until 2003, but struggle – especially in Europe – to identify the winning approaches. Europe poses significant challenges owing to unequal rates of connectivity, fragmented and locally regulated markets, a local e-health supplier base, and missing providers of total e-marketing and sales solutions.

**Building the right organisation and funding it adequately**

Pharmaceutical companies have to decide how to organise and assign responsibilities for e-business, and, more specifically, e-marketing and sales. European pharmaceutical companies have not yet adopted the approach of the US companies Merck and Lilly to build e-venture units. Almost all top pharmaceutical companies have been creating large global e-business organisations, spending up to €50m and more per company. The medium-sized pharmaceutical companies are typically investing under €15m. However, despite all the commitment, lack of budgets has still been the most important obstacle to achieving e-marketing goals, owing to the dispersion of funds across countries and/or products. Lack of commitment makes Internet budgets fragile and among the first to be cut at the local level, which calls for a centralised approach to fund costly experiments.

**Physicians remain the primary target, but consumers/patients are also targeted**

As direct-to-consumer (DTC) marketing is still prohibited in Europe, physicians are the focus of more than 50% of companies, while about 25% are targeting predominantly consumers/patients. e-Marketing initiatives are segmented predominantly by disease/therapeutic area and product/brand. This has led to a proliferation of proprietary disease and therapeutic area Websites by pharmaceutical companies. Pharmaceutical marketers believe that their relationships with specialists and patients under treatment will be impacted first. Besides the Internet and e-mail newsletters, the use of which is ubiquitous, few marketers have started to leverage mobile phones or personal digital assistants. The major objective – increasing market share – is difficult to translate into concrete Internet marketing initiatives. Business-to-consumer direct marketing, compliance/disease management and e-detailing to physicians are believed to be the future ‘killer applications’ of online marketing and sales.

<p><b>Simple three-step decision-making framework proposed</b></p>	<p>To ensure the successful implementation of initiatives, pharmaceutical marketers have to go through a rigorous decision-making framework, which we propose should encompass three steps. First, they need to define the objective and target audience of the initiative based on traditional patient/physician flow analysis. Second, they need to decide how to implement the selected initiative, selecting between soft and hard branding (disease versus product) and infrastructure ownership (independent versus company sites). Finally, they need to locate the ideal partner among the numerous e-health suppliers, and garner implementation support internally. Owing to widespread scepticism of e-marketing, marketers should apply a rigorous evaluation mechanism, which includes agreed-upon objectives, corresponding quantitative metrics and process measures, until the initiative goes live. Long-term, pharmaceutical companies will benefit most from the Internet by adopting integrated Internet marketing programmes and by standardising and automating their marketing processes.</p>
<p><b>Favourable regulatory changes on the horizon in the EU</b></p>	<p>The pharmaceutical industry often cites the stiff regulation in Europe as a key hurdle for Internet-based marketing, especially where direct-to-consumer advertising is concerned. Recent action taken by regulatory and/or self-regulating bodies puts this into perspective, and has increased the degree of freedom for pharmaceutical marketers. The EU has issued interpretative guidance related to the Internet, allowing the unmodified and unabridged publication of information authorised by Competent Authorities, such as the Summary of Product Characteristics or the package leaflet, to the general public. There might even be more favourable changes based on a study promoted by the European Parliament on the use of new technologies, such as the Internet, for the marketing and sale of medicines. According to the <i>Financial Times</i>, we might see a relaxation of DTC regulation in Europe, first on three diseases with common treatment across Europe: AIDS, asthma and diabetes.</p>
<p><b>Navigating the complex European e-health supplier landscape</b></p>	<p>The choice of e-health suppliers offering help to pharmaceutical marketers is overwhelming. Before deciding on the right partners, pharmaceutical marketers must obey a number of ground rules. First, never be led by a suppliers' technology solution, no matter what claims may accompany it. Successful online strategies can be driven only by the needs of the business. Second, recognise that nearly all suppliers are trying to prove their solutions in the market. Where possible, ensure that you enter into shared-risk agreements, maximising your chances of a successful outcome. Third, retain control of your projects, do not pass all responsibilities to the suppliers you engage. Any solution must be actively sponsored, otherwise it will never be adopted internally. Fourth, ensure that all learning points are communicated effectively to all relevant parts of your organisation. Fifth,</p>

remember to ensure that performance metrics are defined prior to the deployment of any solution. Finally, don't be scared by new technologies and their suppliers – they will not replace traditional marketing and sales techniques, they will simply serve to enhance them.

**Towards a  
global health  
service industry**

The Internet cannot be isolated from other advances in healthcare and is playing an important enabling role within the changing healthcare environment. Care for the individual is becoming the focal point of the industry owing to the implementation of electronic patient records that contain data on the individual, and advances in (pharmaco)genomics, whereby drugs are tailored to the genetic predisposition of the individual. Pharmaceutical companies will be forced to focus on the customer relationships, and offer a product/service bundle, since they have to target the physician with patient-specific messages. Consumer/patient-centric processes, such as direct marketing and compliance/disease management programmes, will also become important, resulting in a gradual shift of the traditional marketing and sales mix. The synergistic changes of the genomics and Internet revolution will ignite the genesis of a global health service industry. The formation of the new industry will be further accelerated by the next technology wave, such as broadband and next-generation mobiles, improving the ability to provide highly customised and interactive health services to anybody, any time, anywhere. The potentially positive impact on the healthcare system will materialise only if regulation allows for changing roles of healthcare professionals and patients. Because of the strong lead of governments in healthcare, especially in the UK, where the NHS is committed to full implementation of electronic patient records by 2005, Europe might create a distinct advantage by adopting at least country-specific standards. Pharmaceutical players can enter the global health service industry cost-effectively by building services around their own products, which generate incremental product sales. The next step will be an integrated disease service, such as Roche's Integrated Cancer Care Unit. However, it will prove much more difficult to complete the transformation and provide one-stop-shop consumer/patient-centric global health services. Therefore, we might see an acquisition wave when technology adoption accelerates again, and global health services are spotted as the next large multi-trillion euro battleground.